Chapter 15 Service Department Costing: An Activity Approach

Solutions to Questions

15-1 Operating departments are the units in an organization within which the central purposes of the organization are carried out; these departments usually generate revenue. By contrast, service departments provide support or assistance to the operating departments. Examples of service departments include laundry services, internal auditing, airport maintenance services (ground crews), cafeteria, personnel, cost accounting, and so on.

15-2 Service department costs are allocated to products and services in two stages. Service department costs are first allocated to the operating departments. These allocated costs are then included in the operating departments' overhead rates, which are used to cost products and services.

15-3 Interdepartmental service costs exist whenever two service departments perform services for each other. Under the step method, the costs of the service department performing the greatest amount of service for the other service departments are allocated first, the costs of the service department performing the next greatest amount of service are allocated next, and so forth through all the service departments. Once a service department's costs have been allocated, costs are not reallocated back to it under the step method.

15-4 Under the direct method, costs are not allocated from one service department to another. Rather, all service department costs are allocated directly to operating departments.

15-5 If a service department generates revenues, these revenues should be offset against the department's costs and only the net amount of cost remaining after this offset should be allocated to other departments.

15-6 Two general guidelines govern the allocation of fixed service department costs to other departments: (1) allocate only budgeted costs, and (2) allocate fixed costs in predetermined, lump-sum amounts, according to how much of the service department's capacity is acquired to serve each of the other departments.

Two general guidelines also govern the allocation of variable service department costs to other departments: (1) allocate at budgeted rates, and (2) allocate the costs according to whatever activity (direct labor-hours, pounds of laundry, etc.) causes their incurrence.

15-7 If a variable base is used to allocate fixed costs, the costs allocated to one department will depend in large part on what is happening in other departments. As a consequence, the amount of service department cost allocated to a department will increase or decrease depending on the activity in other departments.

Exercise 15-1 (15 minutes)

| | Service De | partments | Operating Departments | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Admini- stration | Facility Services | Undergraduate Programs | Graduate Programs | Total |
| Departmental costs before | ¢0,400,000 | ¢1 (00 000 | ¢2(000 000 | ¢F 700 000 | |
| Allocations: Administration costs | \$2,400,000 | \$1,600,000 | \$26,800,000 | \$5,700,000 | <u>\$36,500,000</u> |
| (20/25, 5/25) Facility Services costs | (2,400,000) | | 1,920,000 | 480,000 | |
| (70/100, 30/100)* Total costs after allocation . | <u>\$0</u> | <u>(1,600,000</u>) <u>\$0</u> | <u> 1,120,000</u> <u>\$29,840,000</u> | <u>480,000</u> <u>\$6,660,000</u> | <u>\$36,500,000</u> |

*Based on the space occupied by the two operating departments, which is 100,000 square feet.

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Exercise 15-2 (15 minutes)

| | Ser | vice | Opera | ating | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | Depart | tments | Departi | ments | |
| | Admini- | | | | |
| | stration | Janitorial | Groceries | Gifts | Total |
| Departmental costs before allocations | \$150,000 | \$40,000 | \$2,320,000 | \$950,000 | <u>\$3,460,000</u> |
| Allocations: | | | | | |
| Administration costs | | | | | |
| (160/4,000, 3,100/4,000, | | | | | |
| 740/4,000)* | (150,000) | 6,000 | 116,250 | 27,750 | |
| Janitorial costs | | | | | |
| (4,000/5,000, 1,000/5,000)† | | <u>(46,000</u>) | 36,800 | 9,200 | |
| Total costs after allocation | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$2,473,050</u> | <u>\$986,950</u> | <u>\$3,460,000</u> |

*Based on employee hours in the other three departments, 160 + 3,100 + 740 = 4,000.

†Based on space occupied by the two operating departments, 4,000 + 1,000 = 5,000.

Both the Janitorial Department costs of \$40,000 and the Administration costs of \$6,000 that have been allocated to the Janitorial Department are allocated to the two operating departments.

Exercise 15-3 (10 minutes)

| | Northern Plant | Southern Plant |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Variable costs: | | |
| \$0.25 per ton × 120,000 tons | \$ 30,000 | |
| \$0.25 per ton × 60,000 tons | | \$ 15,000 |
| Fixed costs: | | |
| 70% × \$300,000 | 210,000 | |
| 30% × \$300,000 | | 90,000 |
| Total allocated costs | <u>\$240,000</u> | <u>\$105,000</u> |

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved. 918

Exercise 15-4 (20 minutes)

1. and 2.

End-of-year allocations of variable costs should be based on the *actual* level of activity multiplied by the *budgeted* rate. End-of-year allocations of fixed costs should be based on the same predetermined lump-sum amounts as at the beginning of the year. Actual costs in excess of (or less than) the budgeted rate for variable costs or the budgeted total fixed costs should not be allocated to the plants. Therefore, the allocations of transport services cost at the end of the year would be:

| | Northern | Southern | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Plant | Plant | Total |
| Variable costs: | | | |
| \$0.25 per ton × 130,000 tons | \$ 32,500 | | |
| \$0.25 per ton × 50,000 tons | | \$ 12,500 | \$ 45,000 |
| Fixed costs: | | | |
| 70% × \$300,000 | 210,000 | | |
| 30% × \$300,000 | | 90,000 | 300,000 |
| Total cost | <u>\$242,500</u> | <u>\$102,500</u> | <u>\$345,000</u> |

3. Part of the \$364,000 in total cost will not be allocated to the plants, as follows:

| | Variable | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Cost | Fixed Cost | Total |
| Total cost incurred | \$54,000 | \$310,000 | \$364,000 |
| Total cost allocated (above) | 45,000 | 300,000 | 345,000 |
| Amount of cost not allocated | <u>\$ 9,000</u> | <u>\$ 10,000</u> | <u>\$ 19,000</u> |

The cost not allocated represents cost incurred in excess of the budgeted \$0.25 per ton variable cost and budgeted \$300,000 in fixed costs. This \$19,000 in unallocated cost is the responsibility of the Transport Services Department and is a cost variance for the year.

Exercise 15-5 (20 minutes)

| | | Service | | Oper | rating | |
|--|------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | L | Department. | 5 | Depar | tments | |
| | Admini- | | Mainte- | | | |
| | stration | Janitorial | nance | Binding | Printing | Total |
| Overhead costs | \$140,000 | \$105,000 | \$ 48,000 | \$275,000 | \$430,000 | <u>\$998,000</u> |
| Allocations: | | | | | | |
| Administration costs: | | | | | | |
| (5%, 20%, 45%, 30%)* | (140,000) | 7,000 | 28,000 | 63,000 | 42,000 | |
| Janitorial costs: (1/8, 2/8, 5/8) | | (112,000) | 14,000 | 28,000 | 70,000 | |
| Maintenance costs: (1/3, 2/3) | | | <u>(90,000</u>) | 30,000 | 60,000 | |
| Total overhead costs after allocations | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$396,000</u> | <u>\$602,000</u> | <u>\$998,000</u> |

* Allocations can be shown in percentages, in fractions, or as a rate per unit of activity. For example, Administration allocations have been shown as percentages, but they could have been shown as 1/20; 4/20; 9/20; and 6/20 or they could have been shown as \$200 per employee. Fractions should be used if percentages result in rounding errors.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Exercise 15-5 (continued)

Supporting computations:

| Administration costs alloca | ited on the basis of: | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Janitorial | 35 employees | 5 % |
| Maintenance | 140 employees | 20 |
| Binding | 315 employees | 45 |
| Printing | 210 employees | <u> 30 </u> |
| Total | <u>700 employees</u> | <u>100</u> % |

Janitorial costs allocated on the basis of:

| Maintenance | 20,000 square feet | 1/8 |
|-------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Binding | 40,000 square feet | 2/8 |
| Printing | 100,000 square feet | <u>5/8</u> |
| Total | <u>160,000 square feet</u> | <u>8/8</u> |

Maintenance costs allocated on the basis of:

| Binding | 30,000 hours | 1/3 |
|----------|---------------------|------------|
| Printing | <u>60,000 hours</u> | <u>2/3</u> |
| Total | <u>90,000 hours</u> | <u>3/3</u> |

Exercise 15-6 (20 minutes)

| | | Service | | Oper | ating | |
|------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Ľ | Departments | ; | Depart | tments | |
| | Admini- | | Mainte- | | | |
| | stration | Janitorial | nance | Binding | Printing | Total |
| Overhead costs | \$140,000 | \$105,000 | \$ 48,000 | \$275,000 | \$430,000 | <u>\$998,000</u> |
| Allocation: | | | | | | |
| Administration costs: (3/5, 2/5). | (140,000) | | | 84,000 | 56,000 | |
| Janitorial costs: (2/7, 5/7) | | (105,000) | | 30,000 | 75,000 | |
| Maintenance costs: (1/3, 2/3) | | | <u>(48,000</u>) | 16,000 | 32,000 | |
| Total overhead costs after alloca- | | | | | | |
| tions | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$405,000</u> | <u>\$593,000</u> | <u>\$998,000</u> |
| | | | | | | |

Supporting computations:

| _ | Administration | | Janitorial | | Maintenance | | |
|-----------|----------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| Binding | 315 employees | 3/5 | 40,000 square feet | 2/7 | 30,000 hours | 1/3 | |
| Printing. | 210 employees | <u>2/5</u> | 100,000 square feet | <u>5/7</u> | <u>60,000 hours</u> | <u>2/3</u> | |
| Total | 525 employees | <u>5/5</u> | 140,000 square feet | <u>7/7</u> | <u>90,000 hours</u> | <u>3/3</u> | |

Exercise 15-7 (20 minutes)

| 1. | | | Restaurants | | |
|----|--|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | Rick's | Imperial | Ginger | |
| | | Harborside | Garden | Wok | Total |
| | Percentage of 2005 sales | 32% | 50% | 18% | 100% |
| | Allocation of 2005 fixed administrative expenses (based on the above | | | | |
| | percentages) | \$640,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$360,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| 2. | 2005 allocation (above) | \$640,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$360,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| | 2004 allocation | 800,000 | 750,000 | 450,000 | 2,000,000 |
| | Increase (decrease) in allocation | <u>\$(160,000</u>) | <u>\$ 250,000</u> | <u>\$(90,000</u>) | <u>\$0</u> |

The manager of the Imperial Garden undoubtedly will be upset about the increased allocation of fixed administrative expense. Such an increased allocation may be viewed as a penalty for an outstanding performance.

3. Sales dollars is not ordinarily a good base for allocating fixed costs. The departments with the greatest sales will be allocated the greatest amount of cost and the costs allocated to a department will be affected by the sales in *other* departments. In our illustration above, the sales in two restaurants remained static and the sales in the third increased. As a result, less cost was allocated to the restaurants with static sales and more cost was allocated to the one restaurant that showed improvement during the period.

Exercise 15-8 (15 minutes)

The budgeted rate of \$18 per X-ray should be multiplied by the actual number of X-rays provided for each operating department for the end-of-year allocations.

| | | (2) | |
|------------------|----------|---------------|------------------|
| | (1) | Actual | (1) × (2) |
| | Budgeted | Number of | Total |
| | Rate | X-rays | Allocation |
| Pediatrics | \$18 | 6,000 | \$108,000 |
| OB Care | \$18 | 3,000 | 54,000 |
| General Hospital | \$18 | <u>15,000</u> | 270,000 |
| Total | | <u>24,000</u> | <u>\$432,000</u> |

The difference between the budgeted and actual cost per X-ray is the responsibility of the Radiology Department and is not allocated to the operating departments. This variance totals \$48,000 for the year.

 $24,000 \text{ X-rays} \times (\$20 - \$18 = \$2 \text{ per X-ray}) = \$48,000.$

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Exercise 15-9 (15 minutes)

| 1. | | | Janitorial Services | Radiology | Pediatrics | ob Care | General Hospital |
|----|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Allocations: | | \$375,000 | \$590,000 | | | |
| | (4%, 20%, 16%, 60) | %) | (375,000) | 15,000 | \$ 75,000 | \$ 60.000 | \$225,000 |
| | Radiology: (3/10, 2/10 | , 5/10) | (| (605,000) | 181,500 | 121,000 | 302,500 |
| | Total overhead costs after | er allocations | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$</u> 0 | <u>\$256,500</u> | <u>\$181,000</u> | <u>\$527,500</u> |
| | Supporting computati | ons: | | | | | |
| | Janitorial Services: | | | Radiology: | | | |
| | Radiology | 6,000 sq. ft. | 4 % | Pediatrics . | | 9,000 X-rays | 3/10 |
| | Pediatrics | 30,000 sq. ft. | 20 | OB Care | | 6,000 X-rays | 5 2/10 |
| | OB Care | 24,000 sq. ft. | 16 | General Ho | ospital | 15,000 X-rays | <u>5/10</u> |
| | General Hospital | <u>90,000 sq. ft.</u> | 60 | | - | 30,000 X-rays | <u> </u> |
| | | <u>150,000 sq. ft.</u> | <u>100</u> % | | | - | |

The allocations would be the same as in Part 1, since budgeted fixed costs are always allocated to consuming departments. Thus, \$6,000 of the actual fixed costs in Janitorial Services (\$381,000 – \$375,000) and \$10,000 of the actual fixed costs in Radiology (\$600,000 – \$590,000) would not be allocated to other departments.

Problem 15-10 (60 minutes)

| 1. | | | (Thousal | nds of ¥) | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Factory | | | | | |
| | Admini- | Custodial | D | Mainte- | | A |
| Ctop mothed | stration | Services | Personnel | nance | Machining | Assembly |
| Step method | | | | | V07/ 000 | V17E 000 |
| Operating department costs | | V (0 7 (0 | V 00 040 | V 45 000 | ¥376,300 | ¥1/5,900 |
| Costs to be allocated | ¥270,000 | ¥ 68,/60 | ¥ 28,840 | ¥ 45,200 | | |
| Allocations: | | | | | | |
| Factory Administration | | | | | | |
| @ ¥1,800 per labor-hour | (270,000) | 5,400 | 9,000 | 39,600 | 54,000 | 162,000 |
| Custodial Services | | | 0.4(0 | 7 000 | 50 400 | 4 4 4 9 9 |
| @ ¥720 per square foot | | (74,160) | 2,160 | 7,200 | 50,400 | 14,400 |
| Personnel | | | (40,000) | 0.000 | 40.000 | 40.000 |
| @ ¥320,000 per employee | | | (40,000) | 8,000 | 12,800 | 19,200 |
| | | | | | | |
| @ ¥1,250 per machine- | | | | (100,000) | 07 500 | 10 500 |
| | | | | <u>(100,000</u>) | 87,500 | 12,500 |
| Iotal overnead after | V O | V O | V O | V O | | V204 000 |
| | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥581,000</u> | <u>¥384,000</u> |
| Divide by machine-nours | | | | | 70 | |
| (Inousands) | | | | | ÷ 70 | |
| Divide by direct labor-nours | | | | | | 00 |
| (thousands) | | | | | <u>v 0.000</u> | $\div 80$ |
| Overhead rate | | | | | <u>¥ 8,300</u> | <u>¥ 4,800</u> |

Problem 15-10 (continued)

| 2. | | | (Thousai | nds of ¥) | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Factory | | | | | |
| | Admini- | Custodial | | Mainte- | | |
| | stration | Services | Personnel | nance | Machining | Assembly |
| Direct method | | | | | - | - |
| Operating department costs | | | | | ¥376,300 | ¥175,900 |
| Costs to be allocated | . ¥270,000 | ¥68,760 | ¥28,840 | ¥45,200 | | |
| Allocations: | | | | | | |
| Factory Administration | | | | | | |
| (1/4, 3/4) | . (270,000) | | | | 67,500 | 202,500 |
| Custodial Services (7/9, 2/9). | | (68,760) | | | 53,480 | 15,280 |
| Personnel (2/5, 3/5) | | | (28,840) | | 11,536 | 17,304 |
| Maintenance (7/8, 1/8) | | | | <u>(45,200</u>) | <u>39,550</u> | 5,650 |
| Total overhead after alloca- | | | | | | |
| tions | . <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥ 0</u> | <u>¥548,366</u> | <u>¥416,634</u> |
| Divide by machine-hours | | | | | | |
| (thousands) | | | | | ÷ 70 | |
| Divide by direct labor-hours | | | | | | |
| (thousands) | | | | | | ÷ 80 |
| Overhead rate | | | | | <u>¥ 7,834</u> | <u>¥ 5,208</u> |

Problem 15-10 (continued)

3. Plantwide rate

Overhead rate = $\frac{\text{Total overhead cost}}{\text{Total direct labor-hours}}$ = $\frac{\$965,000,000}{100,000 \text{ DLHs}}$ = \$9,650 per DLH

4. The amount of overhead cost assigned to the job would be:

| Step method: | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|------------|
| Machining Department: ¥8,300 per machine-hour × | | | |
| 190 machine-hours | ¥1 | ,577,0 |)00 |
| Assembly Department: ¥4,800 per direct labor-hour | | | |
| × 75 direct labor-hours | | <u>360,0</u> |)00 |
| Total overhead cost | <u>¥1</u> | <u>,937,0</u> |)00 |
| Direct method: | | | |
| Machining Department: ¥7,834 per machine-hour × | | | |
| 190 machine-hours | ¥1 | ,488,4 | 160 |
| Assembly department: ¥5,208 per direct labor-hour × | | | |
| 75 direct labor-hours | | 390,6 | <u>500</u> |
| Total overhead cost | <u>¥1</u> | <u>,879,C</u> | <u>)60</u> |
| Plantwide method: | | | |
| | | | |

¥9,650 per direct labor-hour × 100 direct labor-hours . <u>¥ 965,000</u>

The plantwide method, which is based on direct-labor hours, assigns very little overhead cost to the job since it requires little labor time. Assuming that Factory Administrative costs really do vary in proportion to labor-hours, Custodial Services with square feet occupied, and so on, the company will tend to undercost such jobs if a plantwide overhead rate is used (and it will tend to overcost jobs requiring large amounts of labor time). The direct method is better than the plantwide method, but the step method will generally provide the most accurate overhead rates of the three methods.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Problem 15-11 (45 minutes)

| 1. | Auto Division | Truck Division |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Variable costs: | | |
| \$3 per meal × 35,000 meals | \$105,000 | |
| \$3 per meal × 20,000 meals | | \$60,000 |
| Fixed costs: | | |
| 65% × \$40,000 | 26,000 | |
| 35% × \$40,000 | | 14,000 |
| Total cost allocated | <u>\$131,000</u> | <u>\$74,000</u> |

The variable costs are allocated by multiplying the budgeted rate per meal by the budgeted number of meals that will be served in each division during the month. The fixed costs are allocated in predetermined, lump-sum amounts based on the peak-period need for meals in each division.

| 2. | Auto Division | Truck Division |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Variable costs: | Differen | Differen |
| \$3 per meal × 20,000 meals | \$60,000 | |
| \$3 per meal × 20,000 meals | | \$60,000 |
| Fixed costs: | | |
| 65% × \$40,000 | 26,000 | |
| 35% × \$40,000 | | 14,000 |
| Total cost allocated | <u>\$86,000</u> | <u>\$74,000</u> |

The variable costs are allocated according to the budgeted rate per meal and not according to the actual rate. The fixed costs are again allocated in predetermined, lump-sum amounts, based on budgeted fixed costs. Any difference between budgeted and actual costs is not allocated, but rather is treated as a spending variance of the cafeteria:

| | Variable | Fixed |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total actual costs for the month | \$128,000 | \$42,000 |
| Total cost allocated above | 120,000 | 40,000 |
| Spending variance—not allocated | <u>\$ 8,000</u> | <u>\$ 2,000</u> |

Problem 15-11 (continued)

| 3. | Actual variable costs | \$128,000 |
|----|-----------------------|------------------|
| | Actual fixed costs | 42,000 |
| | Total actual costs | <u>\$170,000</u> |

One-half of the cost, or \$85,000, would be allocated to each division, since an equal number of meals were served in each division during the month.

- 4. This method has two major problems. First, the spending variances should not be allocated, since this forces the inefficiencies of the service department onto the using departments. Second, the fixed costs should *not* be allocated according to month-by-month usage of services, since this causes the allocation to one division to be affected by what happens in another division.
- 5. Their strategy probably will be to underestimate their peak period requirements in order to force a greater proportion of any allocation onto other departments. Top management can control ploys of this type by careful follow-up, with rewards being given to those managers who estimate accurately, and severe penalties assessed against those managers who underestimate their peak period requirements. For example, departments whose managers underestimate their peak period requirements may be denied access to the cafeteria once their estimates have been exceeded.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Problem 15-12 (30 minutes)

1. Yes, there is merit to the complaint. The company is using a variable base (hours of hangar use) to allocate costs that are largely fixed. Thus, the amount of cost that is charged to a division during a given month will depend to a large extent on usage in other divisions. A reduction in usage in one division can result in shifts of costs from it onto the other divisions, even though the other divisions receive no more service.

| 2. | Hours of Use | Total Cost |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1st quarter activity | 3,000 | \$172,000 |
| 2nd quarter activity | <u>2,000</u> | <u>168,000</u> |
| Difference | <u>1,000</u> | <u>\$ 4,000</u> |

| Variable cost element = | Change in cost | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Change in activity | |

$$=\frac{$4,000}{1,000 \text{ hours}}=$4 \text{ per hour}$$

Fixed cost per quarter:

| Total cost, 1st quarter | \$172,000 |
|---|------------------|
| Less variable cost (\$4 per hour × 3,000 hours) | 12,000 |
| Fixed cost | <u>\$160,000</u> |

Thus, the cost formula is \$160,000 fixed cost plus \$4 per hour variable cost.

Problem 15-12 (continued)

3. Even though the peak-period level of activity will not be reached until the fourth quarter, it should still be used to allocate the fixed costs of the hangar. The reason is that peak-period requirements determine the present level of fixed costs. The fact that the divisions do not need a peak-period level of servicing every quarter is immaterial. If the divisions require such servicing at certain times, then the capacity to deliver it must be available, and it is the responsibility of the divisions to bear the cost of that capacity.

| | Domestic | Overseas |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Freight | Passenger | Passenger |
| | | |
| + | | |
| \$ 3,600 | ¢ 7 000 | |
| | \$ 7,200 | ¢ 1 000 |
| | | \$1,200 |
| | | |
| 48,000 | | |
| | 80,000 | |
| | ¢07.000 | <u>32,000</u> |
| <u>\$51,600</u> | <u>\$87,200</u> | <u>\$33,200</u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| \$ 3,200 | | |
| , -, | \$ 2,800 | |
| | | \$ 2,000 |
| | | |
| 48 000 | | |
| 107000 | 80.000 | |
| | 22,200 | 32,000 |
| <u>\$51,200</u> | <u>\$82,800</u> | \$34,000 |
| | <i>Freight</i> \$ 3,600 48,000 <u>\$51,600</u> \$ 3,200 48,000 <u>\$51,200</u> | Domestic Passenger \$ 3,600 \$ 7,200 48,000 80,000 \$ 51,600 \$ 87,200 \$ 3,200 \$ 2,800 48,000 \$ 2,800 \$ 51,200 \$ 82,800 |

Problem 15-13 (45 minutes)

| | Hou keep | ise- bing | Food | Admini- strative | | | General |
|---|-------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Variable costs | Serv \$ | <i>1Ces</i> | <i>Services</i> \$193.860 | <i>Services</i> \$158.840 | <i>Laboratory</i> \$243.600 | \$304.800 | <i>Hospital</i> \$ 74.500 |
| Food Services allocation: | Ŧ | C | + | + , | +=, | + , | +, |
| \$2.70 per meal × 800 meals | | | (2,160) | 2,160 | | | |
| \$2.70 per meal × 2,000 meals | | | (5,400) | | 5,400 | 0 700 | |
| \$2.70 per meal \times 1,000 meals | | | (2,700) | | | 2,700 | |
| $2.70 \text{ per meal} \times 68,000 \text{ meals}$. | | | (183,600) | | | | 183,600 |
| Admin. Services allocation: | | | | | | | |
| \$3.50 per file × 14,000 files | | | | (49,000) | 49,000 | | |
| \$3.50 per file × 7,000 files | | | | (24,500) | | 24,500 | |
| \$3.50 per file × 25,000 files | | | | <u>(87,500</u>) | | | <u>87,500</u> |
| Total variable costs | \$ | 0 | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$298,000</u> | <u>\$332,000</u> | <u>\$345,600</u> |

Problem 15-13 (continued)

| Fixed costs | <i>House- keeping Services</i> \$87,000 | <i>Food</i> <i>Services</i> \$107,200 | <i>Admini- strative Services</i> \$90,180 | <i>Laboratory</i> \$162,300 | <i>Radiology</i> \$215,700 | <i>General Hospital</i> \$401,300 |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Housekeeping Services allocation @ 0.60 per square foot: $0.60 \times 13,000$ square feet $0.60 \times 6,500$ square feet $0.60 \times 10,000$ square feet $0.60 \times 7,500$ square feet $0.60 \times 108,000$ square feet | (7,800) (3,900) (6,000) (4,500) (64,800) | 7,800 | 3,900 | 6,000 | 4,500 | 64,800 |
| Food Services allocation: 0.8% × \$115,000 2.4% × \$115,000 1.6% × \$115,000 95.2% × \$115,000 | | (920) (2,760) (1,840) (109,480) | 920 | 2,760 | 1,840 | 109,480 |
| Admin. Services allocation: 30% × \$95,000 20% × \$95,000 50% × \$95,000 | | | (28,500) (19,000) <u>(47,500</u>) | 28,500 | 19,000 | 47,500 |
| Total fixed costs | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$199,560</u> | <u>\$241,040</u> | <u>\$623,080</u> |
| Total overhead costs | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$497,560</u> | <u>\$573,040</u> | <u>\$968,680</u> |

Problem 15-13 (continued)

Computation of allocation rates:

Variable Food Services:

Allocation rate= Variable food services costs Meals served $=\frac{$193,860}{71,800}$ meals

=\$2.70 per meal

Variable Administrative Services:

Allocation rate = Variable administrative services costs Files processed

 $=\frac{\$158,840 + \$2,160}{46,000 \text{ files}}$

=\$3.50 per file

Fixed Housekeeping Services:

Allocation rate= Fixed housekeeping services costs Square feet

\$87,000

 $=\frac{150,000}{150,000}$ square feet - 5,000 square feet

=\$0.60 per square foot

Problem 15-14 (30 minutes)

1. Beginning-of-year allocations of variable costs are computed by multiplying the budgeted rate by the budgeted level of activity. Fixed costs are allocated in lump-sum amounts based on the peak-period needs of the using departments. The computations are:

| | Forming | Assembly | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| | Department | Department | Total | |
| Variable costs: | | | | |
| \$0.40 per machine-hour × | | | | |
| 160,000 machine-hours | \$ 64,000 | | | |
| \$0.40 per machine-hour × | | | | |
| 80,000 machine-hours | | \$32,000 | \$ 96,000 | |
| Fixed costs: | | | | |
| 70% × \$150,000 | 105,000 | | | |
| 30% × \$150,000 | | 45,000 | <u>150,000</u> | |
| Total cost allocated | <u>\$169,000</u> | <u>\$77,000</u> | <u>\$246,000</u> | |

 a. End-of-year allocations of variable costs are computed by multiplying the budgeted rate by the actual level of activity. Fixed costs are again allocated in predetermined lump-sum amounts based on budgeted costs. The computations are:

| | Forming | Assembly | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Department | Department | Total |
| Variable costs: | | | |
| \$0.40 per machine-hour × | | | |
| 190,000 machine-hours | \$ 76,000 | | |
| \$0.40 per machine-hour × | | | |
| 70,000 machine-hours | | \$28,000 | \$104,000 |
| Fixed costs: | | | |
| 70% × \$150,000 | 105,000 | | |
| 30% × \$150,000 | | 45,000 | 150,000 |
| Total cost allocated | <u>\$181,000</u> | <u>\$73,000</u> | <u>\$254,000</u> |

Problem 15-14 (continued)

b. Any difference between the budgeted and actual variable cost per machine-hour or between the budgeted and actual total fixed cost would not be allocated to the other departments. The amount not allocated would be:

| | Variable | Fixed | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Cost | Cost | Total |
| Actual cost incurred during the year | \$110,000 | \$153,000 | \$263,000 |
| Cost allocated (above) | 104,000 | <u>150,000</u> | 254,000 |
| Cost not allocated (spending | | | |
| variance) | <u>\$6,000</u> | <u>\$ 3,000</u> | <u>\$ 9,000</u> |
| | | | |

The costs not allocated are spending variances of the Maintenance Department and are the responsibility of the Maintenance Department's manager.

Problem 15-15 (60 minutes)

| 1. and 2. | | | | Equip- ment | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Buildin Grour | ng & Ands | Admini- stration | <i>Mainte-</i> <i>nance</i> | Fabric- ation | Finishing |
| Variable costs to be allocated | R | 0 | R22,200 | R16,900 | | C |
| Administration: R20 per employee × 30 employees R20 per employee × 450 employees R20 per employee × 630 employees | | | (600) (9,000) (12,600) | 600 | R 9,000 | R12,600 |
| Equipment maintenance: R0.10 per MH × 70,000 MHs R0.10 per MH × 105,000 MHs | | | | (7,000) <u>(10,500</u>) | 7,000 | 10,500 |
| Totals | <u>R</u> | 0 | <u>R 0</u> | <u>R 0</u> | <u>R16,000</u> | <u>R23,100</u> |

Problem 15-15 (continued)

| Fixed costs to be allocated | <i>Building & Grounds</i> R88,200 | <i>Admini- stration</i> R60,000 | <i>Equip- ment Mainte- nance</i> R24,000 | Fabric- ation | Finishing |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Building & Grounds: R3 per sq. ft. × 500 sq. ft R3 per sq. ft. × 1,400 sq. ft R3 per sq. ft. × 12,000 sq. ft R3 per sq. ft. × 15,500 sq. ft | (1,500) (4,200) (36,000) (46,500) | 1,500 | 4,200 | R36,000 | R46,500 |
| Administration: $3\% \times R61,500$ $38\% \times R61,500$ $59\% \times R61,500$ | | (1,845) (23,370) (36,285) | 1,845 | 23,370 | 36,285 |
| Equipment Maintenance: $40\% \times R30,045$ $60\% \times R30,045$ Total fixed costs | <u>R 0</u> | <u>R 0</u> | (12,018) <u>(18,027</u>) <u>R 0</u> | 12,018 <u>R_71,388</u> | <u> 18,027</u> <u>R100,812</u> |
| Total allocated costs Other budgeted costs Total overhead costs (a) Budgeted machine-hours (b) Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) | <u>R 0</u> | <u>R 0</u> | <u>R 0</u> | <u>R 87,388</u> <u>566,000</u> <u>R653,388</u> 70,000 R9.33 | <u>R123,912</u> <u>810,000</u> <u>R933,912</u> 105,000 R8.89 |

Problem 15-15 (continued)

Computation of allocation rates:

Variable Administration:

Allocation rate= Variable administrative costs Employees Employees

R22,200

 $=\frac{R22,200}{30+450+630=1,110 \text{ employees}}$

=R20 per employee

Variable Equipment Maintenance:

Allocation rate= Variable equipment maintenance costs Machine-hours

= R16,900 + R600 70,000+105,000=175,000 MHs

=R0.10 per MH

Fixed Building & Grounds: Allocation rate = $\frac{\text{Fixed building and grounds costs}}{2}$

Square feet

R88,200

500+1,400+12,000+15,500=29,400 square feet

=R3 per square foot

Problem 15-15 (continued)

Fixed Administration:

| Department fixed costs Allocated from Building & Grounds Costs to be allocated | R60,000 <u>1,500</u> <u>R61,500</u> | |
|---|--|--|
| Employees at full capacity: Equipment Maintenance Fabrication Finishing Total | 45 570 <u>885</u> <u>R1,500</u> | 3 % 38 <u>59</u> <u>100</u> % |
| Fixed Equipment Maintenance: Department fixed costs Allocated from Building & Grounds Allocated from Administration Costs to be allocated | R24,000 4,200 <u>1,845</u> <u>R30,045</u> | |

Allocation percentages are given in the problem.

| 3. | Equip- ment | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| | Mainte- | Fabric- | | | |
| | nance | ation | Finishing | 70 | otal |
| Variable cost allocation: | | | - | | |
| R20 per employee × | | | | | |
| 32 employees | R640 | | | R | 640 |
| R20 per employee × | | | | | |
| 460 employees | | R9,200 | | ç | 9,200 |
| R20 per employee × | | | | | |
| 625 employees | | | R12,500 | 12 | <u>2,500</u> |
| Total cost allocated | | | | <u>R22</u> | <u>2,340</u> |
| Actual variable administration | | | | | |
| cost | | | | R23 | 8,800 |
| Total cost allocated—above | | | | 22 | <u>2,340</u> |
| Spending variance—not | | | | | |
| allocated | | | | <u>R</u> 1 | ,4 <u>60</u> |

Problem 15-16 (45 minutes)

| Variable costs | Gene Adm strat \$ | eral ini- ion 0 | <i>Cost Accounting</i> \$70,000 | <i>Laundry</i> \$143,000 | <i>Convention Center</i> \$ 0 | <i>Food</i> <i>Services</i> \$52,000 | <i>Guest Lodging</i> \$ 24,000 |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Cost Accounting allocation: \$5 per item × 800 items \$5 per item × 1,200 items \$5 per item × 3,000 items \$5 per item × 9,000 items | | | (4,000) (6,000) (15,000) (45,000) | 4,000 | 6,000 | 15,000 | 45,000 |
| Laundry allocation: \$0.60 per pound × 20,000 pounds \$0.60 per pound × 15,000 pounds \$0.60 per pound × 210,000 pounds | | | | (12,000) (9,000) (126,000) | 12,000 | 9,000 | 126,000 |
| Total variable costs | \$ | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$18,000 | \$76,000 | \$195,000 |

Problem 15-16 (continued)

| Fixed costs | <i>General</i> <i>Admini-</i> <i>stration</i> \$200,000 | <i>Cost</i> <i>Accounting</i> \$110.000 | <i>Laundry</i> \$65.900 | <i>Convention</i> <i>Center</i> \$ 95.000 | <i>Food</i> <i>Services</i> \$375.000 | <i>Guest Lodging</i> \$486.000 |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| General Administration allocation | : | + | + + | , , | | |
| 10% × \$200,000 4% × \$200,000 | (20,000) (8,000) | 20,000 | 8,000 | (0.000 | | |
| 30% × \$200,000 16% × \$200,000 40% × \$200,000 | (60,000) (32,000) (80,000) | | | 60,000 | 32,000 | 80,000 |
| Cost Accounting allocation: $7\% \times $130,000$ | | (0 100) | 0 100 | | | |
| 13% × \$130,000 20% × \$130,000 60% × \$130,000 | | (16,900) (26,000) (78,000) | 9,100 | 16,900 | 26,000 | 78 000 |
| Laundry allocation: 10% × \$83,000 | | (70,000) | (8,300) | 8,300 | | 78,000 |
| 6% × \$83,000 84% × \$83,000 | | | (4,980) (69,720) | | 4,980 | <u> 69,720</u> |
| Total fixed costs | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$180,200</u> | <u>\$437,980</u> | <u>\$713,720</u> |
| Total overhead costs | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$198,200</u> | <u>\$513,980</u> | <u>\$908,720</u> |

Problem 15-16 (continued)

Computations of allocation rates:

Variable Cost Accounting:

Allocation rate= Variable cost accounting costs Items processed

> = \$70,000 15,000-1,000=14,000 items

=\$5 per item

Variable Laundry:

Allocation rate= $\frac{\text{Variable laundry costs}}{\text{Pounds processed}}$

 $=\frac{\$143,000+\$4,000}{245,000 \text{ pounds}}$

=\$0.60 per pound

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Case 15-17 (90 minutes)

1. The plantwide rate would include overhead costs for both the service departments and the manufacturing departments. It would be computed as follows:

| | Manufa | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Molding | Component | Assembly | Total | | |
| Variable overhead | \$ 210,500 | \$1,000,000 | \$1,650,000 | \$2,860,500 | | |
| Fixed overhead | 1,750,000 | 620,000 | 749,500 | <u>3,119,500</u> | | |
| Total overhead | <u>\$1,960,500</u> | <u>\$1,620,000</u> | <u>\$2,399,500</u> | <u>\$5,980,000</u> | | |
| Service department ov | verhead costs | : | | | | |
| Power department (S | \$500,000 + \$ | 140,000 + \$1, | 200,000) | 1,840,000 | | |
| Maintenance departr | 400,000 | | | | | |
| Total company overhead | <u>\$8,220,000</u> | | | | | |
| Estimated direct labor- | hours: | | | | | |
| Molding | | | | 50,000 | | |
| Component | | | | 200,000 | | |
| Assembly | | | | <u>150,000</u> | | |
| Total hours | | | | <u>400,000</u> | | |
| | Fctir | nated overhea | nd cost | | | |
| Plantwide overhead rate = $\frac{1}{5}$ | | | | | | |
| | Estima | ated direct lab | ur-nours | | | |

= \$8,220,000 400,000 DLHs

=\$20.55 per DLH

2. a. Allocation rates for the service department costs would be as follows:

Variable power costs:

 $\frac{\$500,000 + \$140,000}{80,000 \text{ kwhs}} = \8 per kwh

Variable maintenance costs:

 $\frac{\$25,000}{12,500 \text{ hours}} = \2 per hour

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Given the above data, the allocations by the direct method would be as follows:

| | Power | Maintenance | Molding | Component | Assembly |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Variable cost | \$ 640,000 | \$ 25,000 | _ | | - |
| Power allocation: | | | | | |
| \$8 per kwh × 36,000 kwh | (288,000) | | \$ 288,000 | | |
| \$8 per kwh × 32,000 kwh | (256,000) | | | \$256,000 | |
| \$8 per kwh × 12,000 kwh | (96,000) | | | | \$ 96,000 |
| Maintenance allocations: | | | | | |
| \$2 per hour × 9,000 hours | | (18,000) | 18,000 | | |
| \$2 per hour × 2,500 hours | | (5,000) | | 5,000 | |
| \$2 per hour × 1,000 hours | | (2,000) | | | 2,000 |
| Total variable costs | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | 306,000 | 261,000 | 98,000 |
| Fixed costs | \$1,200,000 | \$375,000 | | | |
| Power allocations: | | | | | |
| 50% × \$1,200,000 | (600,000) | | 600,000 | | |
| 35% × \$1,200,000 | (420,000) | | | 420,000 | |
| 15% × \$1,200,000 | (180,000) | | | | 180,000 |
| Maintenance allocations: | | | | | |
| 70% × \$375,000 | | (262,500) | 262,500 | | |
| 20% × \$375,000 | | (75,000) | | 75,000 | |
| 10% × \$375,000 | | <u>(37,500</u>) | | | 37,500 |
| Total fixed costs | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | 862,500 | 495,000 | 217,500 |
| Total allocated costs | | | <u>\$1,168,500</u> | <u>\$756,000</u> | <u>\$315,500</u> |

| 2. | b. | | Molding | Component | Assembly |
|----|----|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Allocated service department costs (above) Manufacturing department overhead costs: | \$1,168,500 | \$ 756,000 | \$ 315,500 |
| | | Variable Fixed | 210,500 <u>1,750,000</u> | 1,000,000 <u>620,000</u> | 1,650,000 <u>749,500</u> |
| | | Divide by machine-hours | <u>\$3,129,000</u> ÷ 87,500 | <u>\$2,370,000</u> | <u>\$2,715,000</u> |
| | | Divide by direct labor-hours Predetermined overhead rate | <u>\$ 35.76</u> | ÷ 200,000 <u>\$ 11.88</u> | ÷ 150,000 \$ 18.10 |

3. a. Overhead cost allocated under the plantwide rate:

7,500 direct labor-hours \times \$20.55 per direct labor-hour = \$154,125

Overhead cost allocated under the departmental rates:

Molding department:

| \$35.76 per machine-hour × 3,000 machine-hours | \$107,280 |
|--|-----------|
| Component department: | |
| \$11.88 per direct labor-hour × 2,500 direct labor-hours | 29,700 |
| Assembly department: | |
| \$18.10 per direct labor-hour × 4,000 direct labor-hours | 72,400 |
| Total cost allocated | \$209,380 |

b. The use of a plantwide rate is resulting in too little overhead cost being allocated to products that require a large proportion of machine-hours as compared to direct labor-hours. In part 3a above, for example, the attaché case (which requires a large proportion of machine-hours) is allocated only \$154,125 in overhead cost if a plantwide rate is used, whereas it is allocated \$209,380 in overhead cost if departmental rates are used. Since Hobart Products is using a plantwide rate, it is not surprising that the company is pricing this attaché case well below the price of competitors.

On the other hand, use of a plantwide rate is resulting in too much overhead cost being allocated to products that require a large proportion of direct labor time as compared to machine time. This probably accounts for the fact that Hobart's prices for some products are well above the prices of competitors.

4. Hobart Products could take two additional steps to improve its overhead costing. First, it could use the step method to allocate service department overhead costs. And second, it could use activity-based costing (as discussed earlier in the book) to assign overhead costs from operating departments to products.

Case 15-18 (75 minutes)

1. Step method:

| | | Custodial | Mainte- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Personnel | Services | nance | Printing | Binding |
| Total cost before allocations | \$360,000 | \$141,000 | \$201,000 | \$525,000 | \$373,500 |
| Allocations: | | | | | |
| Personnel (@ \$1,800 per employee)* | (360,000) | 27,000 | 45,000 | 72,000 | 216,000 |
| Custodial services | | | | | |
| (@ \$1.20 per square foot)** | | (168,000) | 24,000 | 96,000 | 48,000 |
| Maintenance (5/6, 1/6) | | | <u>(270,000</u>) | <u>225,000</u> | 45,000 |
| Total overhead cost after allocations | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | \$918,000 | \$682,500 |
| Divide by machine-hours | | | | ÷150,000 | |
| Divide by direct labor-hours | | | | | <u>+175,000</u> |
| Predetermined overhead rate | | | | <u>\$ 6.12</u> | <u>\$ 3.90</u> |

* Based on 15 + 25 + 40 + 120 = 200 employees.

** Based on 20,000 + 80,000 + 40,000 = 140,000 square feet.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

2. Direct method:

| | | Custodial | Mainte- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Personnel | Services | nance | Printing | Binding |
| Total costs before allocations | \$360,000 | \$141,000 | \$201,000 | \$525,000 | \$373,500 |
| Allocations: | | | | | |
| Personnel (1/4, 3/4)* | (360,000) | | | 90,000 | 270,000 |
| Custodial Services (2/3, 1/3)** | | (141,000) | | 94,000 | 47,000 |
| Maintenance (5/6, 1/6) | | | <u>(201,000</u>) | <u>167,500</u> | <u>33,500</u> |
| Total overhead cost after allocations | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | \$876,500 | \$724,000 |
| Divide by machine-hours | | | | ÷150,000 | |
| Divide by direct labor-hours | | | | | <u>+175,000</u> |
| Predetermined overhead rate | | | | <u>\$ 5.84</u> | <u>\$ 4.14</u> |
| | | | | | |

* Based on 40 + 120 = 160 employees.

** Based on 80,000 + 40,000 = 120,000 square feet.

3. a. The amount of overhead cost assigned to the job would be:

| Step method: Printing department: | |
|--|---------------------------|
| \$6.12 per machine-hour × 15,400 machine-hours | \$ 94,248 |
| Binding department: \$2.00 per direct labor bour x 2.000 direct labor bours | 7 000 |
| Total overhead cost | <u>7,800</u> \$102,048 |
| Direct method: Printing department: | |
| \$5.84 per machine-hour × 15,400 machine-hours Binding department: | \$ 89,936 |
| $$4.14$ per direct labor-hour \times 2,000 direct labor-hours | <u>8,280</u> |
| Iotal overnead cost | <u>\$ 98,216</u> |

b. The step method provides a better basis for computing predetermined overhead rates than the direct method because it gives recognition to services provided between service departments. If this interdepartmental service is not recognized, then either too much or too little of a service department's costs may be allocated to a producing department. The result will be an inaccuracy in the producing department's predetermined overhead rate.

For example, using the direct method and ignoring interdepartmental services causes the predetermined overhead rate in the Printing Department to fall to only \$5.84 per MH (from \$6.12 per MH when the step method is used), and causes the predetermined overhead rate in the Binding Department to rise to \$4.14 per DLH (from \$3.90 per DLH when the step method is used). These inaccuracies in the predetermined overhead rate can cause corresponding inaccuracies in bids for jobs. Since the direct method in this case understates the rate in the Printing Department and overstates the rate in the Binding Department, it is not surprising that the company tends to bid low on jobs requiring a lot of printing work and tends to bid too high on jobs that require a lot of binding work.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006. All rights reserved.

Group Exercise 15-19

- 1. The answer to this part will depend on the industry the group selects.
- 2. The answer to this part will depend on the industry the group selects.
- 3. The answer to this part will depend on the industry the group selects.
- 4. & 5.

Generally speaking, the wider the range of products made or services offered, the greater the support costs. More products and services require additional support resources for scheduling, planning, billing, shipping, and so on. As the resources demanded of the support departments increase, their costs increase as well.

6. Service department costs are reduced by decreasing spending on the resources the service departments consume. This can be accomplished by: (1) decreasing the activities the service departments are required to perform—perhaps by reducing the range and complexity of products and services offered by the company; (2) improving the business processes in the service departments so that fewer resources are required to carry out those activities; or (3) spending less on the resources—perhaps by negotiating for better prices from suppliers.